SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW		**
KELLY PRICE	8	
-against- DETECTIVE LINDA SIMMONS and as an employee of the New Yo		Index No.:15-CV-05871 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Department, ADA MARIA STRO ADA KENYA WELLS Individual and as employees of the New Yorl District Attorney's Office, THE C Deputy DA Audrey Moore, ADA Laura Higgins nee Richendorfer, A Maloney, ADA Patricia Bailey, Al DA Cyrus Vance Jr., as employees County District Attorney's Office, the New York City Police Departmas an employee of the New York C Rose Pierre-Louis in her capacity of Domestic Violence of the City of	PHBEHN and Illy, k County ITY OF NEW YORK, Larry Newman, ADA ADA Christina DA Susan Roque and s of the New York Inspector Obe of ment, in her capacity City Police Department, as the Commissioner	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC#: DATE FILED: 12 28
D	EFENDANTS,	
y = -	x	
	Request for More To as Ordered by Hon.	me to Amend Complaint Loretta A. Preska

December 23, 2015

Dear Hon. Preska:

By order Posted to me on October, 23, 2015 (Exhibit #3) you requested that I amend my complaint for the above-noted docket. In your order you instructed me to only include causes of action that fall after August 13, 2012 as this was the date that I filed an Amended Complaint with the Pro Se office, SDNY at 500 Pearl Street. I filed my original Federal complaint on July 24, 2015 (Exhibit #1). I filed a revised complaint as I found issues with my exhibits and I wanted to refile a better-organized

document with the court and also to include two parties which were omitted in error as defendants in my original complaint. You will note the Time Stamps attached as exhibits to this request reflecting the filing of the original Federal Complaint on 7/24/2015 (Exhibit #1) and the amended one on 8/13/2015 (Exhibit #2).

I am a Pro Se litigant and learning as I go so please forgive my mis-step. I understand the FRCP "Relation back Amendment" ALLOWS For an amendment to a pleading to relate back to the date of the original pleading when:

- (A) the law that provides the applicable statute of limitations allows relation back;
- (B) the amendment asserts a claim or defense that arose out of the conduct, transaction, or occurrence set out—or attempted to be set out—in the original pleading; or
- (C) the amendment changes the party or the naming of the party against whom a claim is asserted, if Rule 15(c)(1)(B) is satisfied and if, within the period provided by Rule 4(m) for serving the summons and complaint, the party to be brought in by amendment.

I would have served my complaint on the defendants had I not requested POOR PERSON status from the court. If I was not entitled to file an amended pleading on August 13, 2015 then please consider my initial filing on August 24, 2015.

With this information as background I would like to respectfully and humbly request from the court feedback on the portions of my complaint not commented on in your "Order to Amend" as my original filing was within the three-year statute of limitations. I am actively pursuing representation for my case and was planning on requesting Pro Bono Counsel from the court to assist me in articulating this very complicated and layered constitutional matter to your Honor.

On December 18, 2015 I received an affidavit from a Retired NYPD Lieutenant (Exhibit #4) asserting that the procedures and methods employed in taking and reviewing complaints of abuse, sexual

Case 1:15-cv-05871-KPF Document 9 Filed 12/28/15 Page 3 of 8

conscription and trafficking that I made were handled differently than those of any other survivor because

of the status my abuser enjoyed as a Confidential Informant/major case complaintant for the

MDAO/NYPD. With this bombshell evidence in-hand I have been actively in discussions with a number

of skilled and knowledgeable Civil Rights lawyers here in NYC whom are seriously considering taking

my case (Exhibit #5) and the accompanying class action on.

I would like to request a furtherance of deadline for turning in an amended complaint. Ideally I

would appreciate 90 days but even 30 days would suffice if your Honor's patience has already been

tested. If you decide that I am not to be allowed more time to re-write I am happy to turn-in the revised

complaint that I have drafted myself without legal assistance. I took into consideration your stewardship

onpassed to me in your kind "Order to Amend" but feel that even if you accept this that it is HIGHLY

likely that I will find representation in the coming weeks and that any new legal representative on my case

will request permission to Amend the complaint to make sure that my pleadings are correct. I'm trying to

avoid un-necessary and redundant work incurred by you and your clerk/court part staff. Please accept my

MEA CULPAS for any inconvenience, hassle, or disturbance this request causes you or your court staff.

Thank you so much for taking the time to allow me to re-write my complaint.

Yours,

Kelly Price

Date: Detemb

iber 23, 2015

Sworn to before me on this 23rd day of December, 2015

Jenathan Di Collen Novary Public

JONATHAN D. COHEN NOTARY PUBLIC, State of New York No. 02C08012493 Cualified in Kings County

Commission Expires August 25 2006

November 26,2018

95.71-KPF Document 9 Filed 12/28/15 Page 4 of 8

Case 1:15-cv-05871-LAP Document 2 Filed 07/24/15 Page 1 of 52

KELLY PRICE		
		Index No.:
11	PLAINTIFF	
-aga	nst-	
	A SIMMONS Individually,	
and as an employee o Department, MARIA	of the New York City Police STROHBEHN and	
KENYA WELLS Inc	lividually,	
and as an employee	of the New York County	
District Attorney's C	ffice, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Newman, Laura Higgens	
nee Richendorfer, Cl	ristina Maloney, Cyrus Vance Jr.,	
Audrey Moore as em	ployees of the New York County	
District Attorney's C	office, Inspector Obe of the New partment, in her capacity as an	
employee of the Nev	York City Police Department,	
Rose Pierre-Louis in	her capacity as the Commissioner	
of Domestic Violenc	e of the City of New York,	
	DEFENDANTS,	
	X	
		局间の图17

Kelly Price Pro Se 534 w 187th st. apt #7 New York, NY 10033

COMPLAINT

Case 1 25 cv 105 271-KPF Document 9 Filed 12/28/15 Page 5 of 8

Case 1:15-cv-05871-LAP Document 4 Filed 08/13/15 Page 1 of 16

 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KELLY PRICE

Index No. (V- 15-058)

PLAINTIFF

-against-

DETECTIVE LINDA SIMMONS Individually, and as an employee of the New York City Police Department, ADA MARIA STROHBEHN and ADA KENYA WELLS Individually, and as employees of the New York County District Attorney's Office, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Deputy DA Audrey Moore, ADA Larry Newman, ADA Laura Higgins nee Richendorfer, ADA Christina Maloney, ADA Patricia Bailey, ADA Susan Roque and DA Cyrus Vance Jr., as employees of the New York County District Attorney's Office, Inspector Obe of the New York City Police Department, in her capacity as an employee of the New York City Police Department, Rose Pierre-Louis in her capacity as the Commissioner of Domestic Violence of the City of New York,

DEFENDANTS,



AMENDED COMPLAINT

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILED: \$\[\] 15

Kelly Price Pro Se 534 w 187th st. apt #7 New York, NY 10033

Case 1:15-cv-05871-KPF Document 9 Filed 12/28/15 Page 6 of 8

CLERK .

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK THE DANIEL PATRICK MOYNIHAN UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE 500 PEARL STREET - NEW YORK, NY 10007-1312

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

neopost^M 10/23/2015



OCKET SERVICES

Kelly Price 534 W. 187th Street Apt. # 7 New York, NY 10033 12/18/2015 09:23

7273754504

FXWbH #4

My mane is Mark Churtopper To Been I worked as a heaviewant in the New York City Police Department from 1997 to 2013 assegned to the DIS Precent in Markettan. Orien 2010 and 2011, claimed to be a existence with Ms. Kelly frice in which she domestic violina.

Dering my interviews of Ms Price, I found be allegations of abuse to be eredible and with merit. I felt there was sufficient evidence to prepare Complaint Reports to chainent these allegations which would then be further investigated by the Precenct Domestic Vision Officer and for the Precent Detertine

allegations, Liver informed that prior to a report being flister investigated, a phone call to someone in the Manhalter Restrict reporting trimes needed to be consulted.

Ms. Price informed are that she felt their herdesel her ability to receive fail treatment regarding the envertigation of her complaint informed for that as a niction, she should speak will the supervisor of the person at the Manhattan District it library's Office handling be complaint if she felt her complaints were fung stonewalled and not arted upon in a fair manney.

MARK C. halfaceA

From: Jane Byrialsen [mailto:jane@fblaw.org]
Sent: Wednesday, December 23, 2015 10:54 AM

To: Grace Price [qprice@urbanjustice.org]

Subject: Re: Kelly Grace Price v Cyrus Vance Jr., the City of NY, et al referred by Susan Kellerman

Grace,

Thank you for getting all this to us. Due to the holidays no one is available to review this before your filing deadline. There will be attorneys who can review it in January. Until someone has review these documents we cannot make a determination in regards to representation, so if you want to request more time you should do that and expect to hear back from us in the New Year. Otherwise you can file the amended complaint and we can still consider taking the case after that, however, it is preferable to us in regards to our decision on whether or not to assist you if you do not file as that would allow us an opportunity to make sure the complaint is properly plead, as you will most likely have a hard time getting permission to further amend it once it is filed.

Best,

Jane

Jane Fisher-Byrialsen, Esq.

Fisher & Byrialsen, PLLC

99 Park Avenue, PH Floor

New York, New York 10016

T: (212) 962-0848

C: (202) 256-5664

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS

This message and its attachments are sent by a law office and may contain information that is confidential and protected by privilege from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are prohibited from printing, copying, forwarding, or saving this email and any attachments. Please notify the sender immediately if you believe that you are not the intended recipient.